

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 MALIK LANGHAM,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 ANTONIO GRANZELLA, et al.,
11 Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-02275-HSG

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

12
13 Plaintiff Malik Langham initially filed this action on May 10, 2023, against Defendants
14 Antonio Granzella, Joseph Dagnino, Sean Butler, and Kenton Dewald, all employees of the
15 California Highway Patrol. *See* Dkt. No. 1. Following the Court's order dismissing the original
16 complaint, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on May 3, 2024. Dkt. No. 31. Defendants, in
17 turn, filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, and Plaintiff's opposition was due by June
18 3, 2024. Dkt. No. 32. As of the date of this order, however, Plaintiff has failed to file anything in
19 response to the motion. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff **TO SHOW CAUSE** why the
20 motion to dismiss should not be granted and the case dismissed for failure to oppose the motion,
21 or in the alternative for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff shall file a statement of two pages or less by
22 July 8, 2024. Plaintiff is cautioned that if he fails to respond to this order by July 8, the case may
23 be dismissed in its entirety.

24 //
25 //
26 //
27 //
28 //

1 The Court further **VACATES** the June 27 hearing on the motion to dismiss. The Court
2 will reset the hearing if necessary once Plaintiff has responded to this order to show cause.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: 6/10/2024

5 
6 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California